

# Factorizing Links & Prime Satellites

## 4.3 & 4.4

Andrew Jena

06.18.15

\*The figures on pages 82 and 83 in Cromwell may be useful to accompany many of these definitions.

### 4.3 Factorizing Links

#### Definition: Product Link

Let  $S$  be a 2-sphere which meets a link,  $L$ , transversely at exactly two points. Let  $\alpha \subset S$  be any arc in  $S$  that connects the points of  $L \cap S$ . Let  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  be the two components of  $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus S$ . Define two new links:

$$L_i = (L \cap U_i) \cup \alpha \quad \text{for } i = 1 \text{ and } 2.$$

$L$  is said to be a **product link** with *factors*  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ .  $S$  is called a *factorizing sphere*. Symbolically, we write:

$$L = L_1 \# L_2$$

**Note:** Each factor of a product link is also a companion of it: the companion torus is called a *swallow-follow torus*.

**Note:** The trivial knot is a factor of every link.

**Theorem:** The trivial knot has no non-trivial factors.

**Proof:** The factors of a knot are also its companions. Since we proved last time that the trivial knot has no non-trivial companions, it must likewise have no non-trivial factors. QED.

#### Definition: Proper Factor

A factor of a link is a **proper factor** if it is not the trivial knot and it is not equal to the link, itself.

- A link with proper factors is called *composite*.
- A link with no proper factors is called *locally trivial*.

**Definition: Prime Link**

A link is a **prime link** if it is non-trivial, non-split, and locally trivial.

**Definition: Simple Link**

A non-trivial link is a **simple link** if it is prime and has no proper companions

**Note:** Any knot with no proper companions is prime. However, for links, this is not the case.

## 4.4 Prime Satellites

**Definition: Wrapping/Winding Number**

Let  $W$  be an unknotted solid torus containing a pattern link,  $P$ . One measure of the complexity of a pattern is the minimum number of intersections  $P$  makes with any meridional disc of  $W$ . This can be considered either absolutely or algebraically (in which case  $P$  is oriented and the sign of the intersection is taken into account). The minimum absolute intersection number is called the **wrapping number** of the pattern. The algebraic intersection number is called the **winding number** of the pattern.

**Note:** for the algebraic intersection number, we do not need to take a minimum since the algebraic intersection number is the same for all meridional discs in general positions with respect to  $P$ .

**Classifications by Wrapping Number:**

Let  $\omega_P$  denote the wrapping number of a pattern,  $P$

$\omega_P = 0 \implies P$  sits inside a ball in  $W$  and the satellite is unchanged (i.e.  $S = P$ )

$\omega_P = 1 \implies$  the companion torus is a swallow-follow torus and the satellite construction produces a product link

$\omega_P \geq 2 \implies P \subset W$  is called a *proper pattern*

$\implies$  if a satellite has a proper pattern and the companion knot is non-trivial, then  $S$  is called a *proper satellite*

**Theorem:** A proper satellite is prime if its pattern is a prime knot or the trivial knot.

**Proof:** Let  $K$  be a satellite knot with companion solid torus,  $V$ , and pattern,  $P \subset W$ . Since  $K$  is a proper satellite, every meridional disc of  $V$  meets  $K$  in at least two points. Let  $S$  be a factorizing sphere which decomposes  $K$  as a product. We assume that  $K$ ,  $S$ , and  $\partial V$  are in general position.

We now consider the intersection of two surfaces: the sphere,  $S$ , and the torus,  $\partial V$ . Since there are both closed surfaces, the intersection must be a (possibly empty) set of loops.

Suppose that  $S \cap \partial V \neq \emptyset$  and choose a loop,  $\lambda \in S \cap \partial V$ , which bounds a disc,  $\Delta$  in  $S$ , s.t.  $\Delta \cap \partial V = \partial \Delta = \lambda$ . Since there are at least two innermost discs and  $K \cap S$  contains only two points, it is possible to choose  $\Delta$  so that it meets  $K$  in at most one point.

If  $\lambda$  bounds a disc in  $\partial V$ , then we can perform surgery to remove the intersection (as we did in a proof yesterday). Therefore, we can assume that no loops in  $S \cap \partial V$  bound discs in  $\partial V$ .

This leaves us with two cases to consider:

1. Suppose  $\Delta$  is outside  $V$  and  $\lambda$  is a longitude of  $\partial V$ . Any longitude of  $\partial V$  is isotopic to the companion knot which, since it is spanned by the disc,  $\Delta$ , must be the trivial knot. This contradicts the fact that  $K$  is a proper satellite.
2. Suppose  $\Delta$  is inside  $V$  and  $\lambda$  is a meridian of  $\partial V$ . Since every meridional disc of  $V$  meets  $K$  in at least two points,  $\Delta$  must contain both points of  $K \cap S$ , contrary to assumption.

Both cases lead to a contradiction so there is no such loop,  $\lambda$ , and  $S \cap \partial V = \emptyset$ .

Therefore,  $S$  lies inside  $V$  and its preimage,  $h^{-1}(S)$  in  $W$  decomposes the pattern,  $P$ , as a product. This is also a contradiction and the factorizing sphere cannot exist. Therefore, the pattern must either be prime or trivial.

**Corollary:** Doubles of non-trivial knots are prime.

**Proof:** The pattern is the trivial knot.

**Corollary:** Cable knots are prime.

**Proof:** Torus knots are prime.