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## Definition: Breadth

The breadth of a polynomial is the difference between its highest and lowest degrees.

Theorem: The genus of a non-split link, $L$, is bounded below by the breadth of the Alexander polynomial:

$$
2 g(L)+\mu(L)-1 \geq \frac{1}{2} \text { breadth } \Delta_{L}(x)
$$

Proof: Let $F$ be a connected, minimal-genus, spanning surface for $L$ and let $r=2 g+\mu-1$. A basis for $H_{1}(F)$ has $r$ generators, so the Seifert matrix for $F$ is an $r \times r$ square matrix. Thus, the largest possible degree for $x$ is $r$ and the smallest degree is $-r$. Hence, breadth $\Delta_{L}(x) \leq 2 r$. Therefore:

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 g(F) & =2-\chi(F)-\mu(F) \\
& =2 r-\mu(L)+1 \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2} \text { breadth } \Delta_{L}(x)-\mu(L)+1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Q.E.D.

This theorem is powerful enough to establish the genus for all knots up to 10 crossings. However, there are 11-crossing knots for which this fails. For example, if $K$ is the KinoshitaTerasaka knot (seen on page 98 of Cromwell), then $c(K)=11$ and $\Delta(K)=1$. This knot is non-trivial, so its genus is at least 1 (in fact, $g(K)=2$ ).

However, for a knot such as $10_{165}$ (seen on page 140 of Cromwell), we check that:

$$
\Delta\left(10_{165}\right)=3 x^{4}-11 x^{2}+17-11 x^{-2}+3 x^{-4} .
$$

So, while the projection surface of any diagram of $10_{165}$ has genus 3, the Alexander polynomial gives us a bound of 2 . In fact, $10_{165}$ does have genus 2 (since loop $b$ in Cromwell bounds a compressing disc for the projection surface) so the Alexander polynomial may be used to conclusively determine the genus of a link with $\leq 10$ crossings.

Returning to some topics from Jeff's lecture on 07.13, we now define relations between diagrams which are locally different in the following manner:


A localized change from $D_{+}$to $D_{-}$or vice versa is called switching a crossing. A localized change from $D_{+}$or $D_{-}$to $D_{0}$ is called smoothing a crossing.

We note that these local substitution operations can (and often do) change the link type. As well, smoothing a crossing always increases or decreases the number of components by 1 .

Theorem: If three oriented links, $L_{+}, L_{-}$and $L_{0}$, have diagrams, $D_{+}, D_{-}$and $D_{0}$, which differ only in a small neighborhood as shown in the above figure, then:

$$
\Delta\left(L_{+}\right)-\Delta\left(L_{-}\right)=\left(x^{-1}-x\right) \Delta\left(L_{0}\right)
$$

Proof: Let $F_{+}, F_{-}$and $F_{0}$ be the projection surfaces constructed from $D_{+}, D_{-}$and $D_{0}$, respectively. Let $M_{0}$ be the Seifert matrix of $F_{0}$.
If $D_{+}$is a disconnected diagram, then $D_{-}$and $D_{0}$ must also be disconnected. This implies that $L_{+}, L_{-}$and $L_{0}$ are all split links. So, from a theorem in Fresh B's lecture on 07.14, the relation holds (since all $\Delta \mathrm{s}$ would be 0 ). The same argument applies when $D_{-}$is a disconnected diagram.

Suppose that $D_{0}$ is a disconnected diagram but that $D_{+}$and $D_{-}$are connected. Then $L_{0}$ is a split link and the links, $L_{+}$and $L_{-}$, are isotopic (the right side of the following diagram may be turned over). Thus, the relation holds again.


In the remaining case, all the diagrams, $D_{+}, D_{-}$and $D_{0}$, are connected. Let $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ be a basis for $H_{1}\left(F_{0}\right)$. Each loop, $a_{i}$, is also a subset of $F_{+}$and $F_{-}$. A basis for $H_{1}\left(F_{+}\right)$can be completed by adding a loop, $b$, which passes once through the twisted band in the tangle and back through the rest of the surface. The Seifert matrix, $M_{+}$, for $F_{+}$has the form:

|  | $a_{1}^{+}$ | $\ldots$ | $a_{n}^{+}$ | $b^{+}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $a_{1}$ |  |  |  | $v_{1}$ |
| $\vdots$ |  | $M_{0}$ |  | $\vdots$ |
| $a_{n}$ |  |  |  | $v_{n}$ |
| $b$ | $\lambda_{1}$ | $\ldots$ | $\lambda_{n}$ | $\beta$ |

Using the same loop, $b$, in $F_{-}$gives a Seifert matrix $M_{-}$for $F_{-}$that is identical to $M_{+}$except in the bottom-right corner: in $F_{-}$, the linking number is: $l k\left(b, b^{+}\right)=\beta+1$.
Expanding the determinants, $\operatorname{det}\left(x M_{+}-x^{-1} M_{+}^{\top}\right)$ and $\operatorname{det}\left(x M_{-}-x^{-1} M_{-}^{\top}\right)$ about the last column and subtracting terms, we see that almost everything cancels and we are left with:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta\left(L_{+}\right)-\Delta\left(L_{-}\right) & =\beta\left(x-x^{-1}\right) \operatorname{det}\left(x M_{0}-x^{-1} M_{0}^{\top}\right)-(\beta+1)\left(x-x^{-1}\right) \operatorname{det}\left(x M_{0}-x^{-1} M_{0}^{\top}\right) \\
& =-\left(x-x^{-1}\right) \operatorname{det}\left(x M_{0}-x^{-1} M_{0}^{\top}\right) \\
& =\left(x^{-1}-x\right) \Delta\left(L_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Note: This relationship between three links with local differences is an example of a skein relation. These relationships only depend on link types, not on the particular diagrams.

